



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

UK regulations: Could fracking creep under the radar?

Citation for published version:

Smythe, D & Haszeldine, S 2017, 'UK regulations: Could fracking creep under the radar?', *Nature*, vol. 548, no. 7668, pp. 393. <https://doi.org/10.1038/548393a>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1038/548393a](https://doi.org/10.1038/548393a)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Nature

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



<http://www.nature.com.ezproxy.is.ed.ac.uk/nature/journal/v548/n7668/full/548393a.html>

[nature.com](#) [Publications A-Z index](#) [Browse by subject](#)

[Cart](#) [Login](#) [Register](#)

[Current Issue](#) [Correspondence](#) [Article](#)

NATURE | CORRESPONDENCE

UK regulations: Could fracking creep under the radar?

David Smythe & Stuart Haszeldine

Nature **548**, 393 (24 August 2017) doi:10.1038/548393a

Published online 23 August 2017

Subject terms: Energy Policy

As sometime energy advisers to the UK government, we are concerned that fracking of unconventional oil and gas formations risks being classed as conventional hydrocarbon exploration, a relatively insignificant and unobtrusive cottage industry in the United Kingdom. This results from the government using legally binding definitions of unconventional oil and gas (UOG) resources and of high-volume hydraulic fracturing (HVHF, or fracking) that have little rational or scientific basis.

[Print](#)

The government classes shales as unconventional sources of oil and gas, whereas limestone and sandstone rocks are conventional sources. This overlooks unconventional 'tight' (low-permeability) limestone and sandstone. Although there is no universal definition of UOG, the consensus is that the hydrocarbons are held in tight rock (permeability less than 0.1 millidarcies), are unevenly concentrated and widely dispersed, and can be extracted by acid or HVHF.

The UK definition of HVHF is based on how much water is needed for extraction: 10,000 cubic metres or more per well. But the US threshold for HVHF of shale, based on roughly 264,000 fracked US wells, is about 2,000 m³ of extraction water per oil well and 2,500 m³ per gas well (T. J. Gallegos *et al. Water Resour. Res.* **51**, 5839–5845; 2015).

Current exploratory drilling in the United Kingdom's Weald Basin is registered as 'conventional' because the hydrocarbon licensees are testing thin limestone layers in the shale and are not yet fracking. Assuming the UK licensees start HVHF, they can then in principle claim that it is conventional hydrocarbon production by keeping the fracking fluid volume to less than 10,000 m³ per well, which would evade environmental obligations specified in the 2015 UK Infrastructure Act.

Author information

Affiliations

Ventenac en Minervois, France.

David Smythe

University of Edinburgh, UK.

Stuart Haszeldine

Corresponding author

Correspondence to: David Smythe

Nature ISSN 0028-0836 EISSN 1476-4687

SPRINGER NATURE

© 2017 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. All rights reserved.